

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/646,101  | 12/07/2000     | Werner Sobek         | 8961                |                  |  |
| 75  | 590 05/07/2002 |                      |                     |                  |  |
| Felix J D'Ambrosio Jones Tullar & Cooper PO Box 2266 Eads Station |                |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |                |                      | TRAN A, PHI DIEU N  |                  |  |
| Arlington, VA   | 22202          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                |                      | 3637                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  |  | lication No.                                 | Applicant(s)   | <del>\</del> |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
|  |  | 646,101                                      | SOBEK ET AL.   | ()           |  |  |  |
|  |  | miner  | Art Unit   |              |  |  |  |
|  |  | ) A  | 3637   |              |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm<br>Period for Reply  | nunication appears o   | on th cover sh et wit                        | h the correspondenc addr ss  | ;            |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cook if the period for reply specified above is less than thire. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rown Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within t m statutory period will apply eply will, by statute, cause t ths after the mailing date of | no event, however, may a re                  | ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this commun | ication.     |  |  |  |
| Status   | \  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| ,— ,   | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2002</u>   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
|  | 2b)⊠ This acti   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the property Disposition of Claims   | tion for allowance e<br>factice under <i>Ex pai</i>  | xcept for formal matt<br>te Quayle, 1935 C.D | ers, prosecution as to the me<br>. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   | rits is      |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in  | the application.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to   |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to res Application Papers  | triction and/or electi   | on requirement.                              |  |              |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by   | the Examiner.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar  | e: a) accepted or  | b) objected to by the                        | e Examiner.  |              |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any   |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction f  | iled on is: a)   | ☐ approved b)☐ dis                           | approved by the Examiner.  |              |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are  |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected  | to by the Examiner   | ·.   |  |              |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla  | im for foreign priorit   | y under 35 U.S.C. §                          | 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |              |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of  | f:   |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office ac  | ernational Bureau (F   | PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                           | eceived in this National Stage   |              |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  |  |  |  |              |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign I  |  |  |  | cation).     |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  | n for domestic priori  | ty under 35 U.S.C. §                         | § 120 and/or 121.  |              |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  | •  |  |              |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)  | (PTO-948)<br>Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .  |  | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)                                  | <u> </u>     |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)   | Office Action Sur  | nmary  | Part of Paper N  | No. 11       |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3637

i '

Election without traverse to further prosecute the specie of figures 1A, 1B, and 2 to claims 18-24 is hereby confirmed.

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 3/24/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18- 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ennis(5463788).

Ennis (figures 1-3) shows a device having a shank (52), a cap (20, 18) connected to the shank having a membrane having tensile strength and low flexural strength, a circular base surface( each layer of the membrane forming a circular base surface), driving means (54, 28) for driving said membrane, wherein said membrane defining a position of rest wherein it droops limply around said shank (figure 3) and an open position wherein it assumes an essentially

Application/Control Number: 09/646101 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

horizontally position under the influence of centrifugal force generated due to the rotation of the membrane by the driving means, the driving means being an electric motor(54), said driving means being located at the head of the shank, the shank being fixed against relative rotation.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788) in view of Belanger (5127123).

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the means clamping the membrane to the shank being two fixed disks.

Belanger (figure 6) shows two fixed disks clamping a membrane (35) to a shank(122).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the means clamping the membrane to the shank being two fixed disks because using two fixed disks to clamp the membrane to the shank would strongly secure the membrane to the shank as taught by Belanger.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788). Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the motor being pneumatic.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the motor being pneumatic because pneumatic, electrical, hydraulic motors are well-known drive means for rotating a shaft.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis (5463788) 6. in view of Fromme (36834410.

Ennis shows all the claimed limitations except for the energy supply for the driving means being received in said shank.

Fromme shows the energy supply for the driving means (24, 10) being received in the shank (14).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ennis to show the energy supply for the driving means being received in said shank because routing an energy source through a tubular member to a driving means is well known in the art as it would enable nice, neat wire routing with the additional benefit of no wire entanglement with other surrounding moving structures.

Ennis as modified shows all the claimed limitations.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show different rotating device.

Application/Control Number: 09/646101

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 703-306-9136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Phi Dieu Tran A April 25, 2002